



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,376	03/29/2001	David Kee Yang	8491	3463	
	7590 02/24/2003				
	THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
WINTON HIL				SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
6110 CENTEI CINCINNATI	R HILL AVENUE				
CINCINNATI	On 43224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	12	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	00		
•	•	09/821,376	YANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
ı		Curtis E. Sherrer	1761	1-1		
Dariad for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			ddress		
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p	PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPIDIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this townDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u>1/26/02</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINA 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle, 1000 e.	tters, prosecution as to f D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ine ments is		
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.					
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	the Eveniner			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	a)		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 Of R 1.00(c	niner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Exam			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		C 440(a) (d) c= (A			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. 9 119(a)-(a) or (i).			
) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1 Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
	a Codified copies of the priority docum	ients have been received in	Application No	nal Stage		
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa	priority documents have bed I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) list of the certified copies n	en received in this Nation). ot received.	nai Stage		
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provision	onal application).		
1	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	orovisional application has	peen received.			
Attachme				. A1 - (-)		
1) [] No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Pape of Informal Patent Application	r No(s) (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 01/16/03 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "about" in claim 1 and others is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

In response to the above rejection, applicants state that examples 1-4 provide the requisite scope for the term "about." Applicants specifically refer to Example 2 to show that "about 55" is defined as "from about 20 to about 25." Defining one indefinite by other indefinite terms does

Art Unit: 1761

not provide the necessary clarity so as to inform the public as to the scope of applicants' claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Product Alert (v. 28, n.11) for the reason set forth in the last Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 8-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Product Alert for the reason set forth in the last Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/11/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The pertinent arguments relating to the 112 rejection has been addressed above.

With regard to the rejection base of 102/103, applicants argue that the cited art does not provide for a Glycemic Index of about 55 or less." Because the prior art teaches all the ingredient listed in the claim, it is more than reasonable to assume that it also teaches the Glycemic Index characteristic. If this is not the case, then it would appear that the claims' scope is too broad. Further, applicants have not met their burden of showing what the Index would be for the prior art product. Lastly, applicants state on page 4 of their specification, that the Glycemic Index can be controlled by excluding or minimizing the presence of certain high glycemic sugars such as glucose and sucrose. The prior art composition contains agave nectar, the same as that found in dependent claim 17. Therefore, the prior art composition would have the claimed Glycemic Index.

As to the rejection base on 103, motivation to modify a reference may be provided by the findings of law, e.g., in case law. *In re Levin* was cited for the modification of recipes for the consumers' benefit. Applicants also argue that the prior art does not suggest all of the claimed limitations, i.e., the Glycemic Index. As stated above, this limitation is inherently met.

Art Unit: 1761

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner February 20, 2003